### 44th-COSPER-2022

## **BLACK-HOLE MASS DISTRIBUTION OF HIGH-Z LOW-LUMINOSITY QUASARS** ESTIMATED VIA SPECTRAL COMPARISON WITH LOW-Z QUASARS

Ayumi Takahashi, Yoshiki Matsuoka (Ehime Univ.),

and the SHELLQs collaboration

## E1.11 #03-22



### The distribution of BH masses of typical quasars at high-z



<u>We revealed the low-mass end of the  $M_{BH}$  distribution at high redshift!</u>



### Super Massive Black Holes (SMBHs) in the early universe





### **Super Massive Black Holes (SMBHs) in the early universe**

- Distribution of  $M_{BH}$  of  $z \sim 6 - 7.6$  quasars

(Yang et al. 2021)



-Black hole grow track of  $z \ge 7$  quasars with  $\lambda_{Edd} = 1$ ,  $\eta = 0.1$ 



- Previous results support the rapid growth of Black Hole



### Super Massive Black Holes (SMBHs) in the early universe



Focus on a deeper sample that is a "typical" species in the quasar population.

### The number of quasars $M_{BH} < 10^8 [M_{\odot}]$ was very limited!

44th COSPER 2022

Ayumi Takahashi (Ehime Univ.)





High-z quasars sample in this work: • <u>75 type-1 objects</u> (published in Matsuoka+16, 18ab, 19ab)



$$\log\left(\frac{M_{BH}}{M_{\odot}}\right) = A + B\log\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)$$

## **Sloan Digital Sky Survey Quasar Catalog (release14)**



In this work, we selected SDSS quasars at  $2.5 \le z \le 5.0$ ; 101489 objects whose spectra cover the rest-UV portions around Lya emission lines.





## A part of the counterpart's spectra (9/93)

### **SHELLQs**

### counterparts

- Successfully got spectrally matched counterparts!
- Their spectral shapes much resembled each other, even in the absorbed cases.



reduced  $-\chi 2$ 

Random selected



## Our measurements vs. Literatures



Our predicted BH masses :



It is possible to predict BH masses of high-z quasars with high accuracy without their actual spectra by just doing spectral matching with low-z quasars.

2000



9

## The distribution of BH masses of typical quasars at high-z



### We revealed the low-mass end of the $M_{BH}$ distribution at high redshift!

♦ The majority of our sample accrete at sub-Eddington rates

•  $M_{BH}$  range of our sample of  $M_{BH} \sim 10^{7.0} - 10^{9.8} [M_{\odot}]$  (without Type-2 candidates) ♦ Our BH masses are lower by 1-1.5 orders of magnitude than the previous sample.



## **Estimated growth history of SHELLQs quasars**

### $L_{EDD}/L_{BOL} = 1$



 $\eta = 0.1$  (i.e., Shakura & Sunyaev 1976)

Most of SHELLQs quasars BH seed into the Pop-III remnants



## **Estimated growth history of SHELLQs quasars**

### $L_{EDD}/L_{BOL}$ = each observed values



High-z & typical quasars are divided into different activity phases

- 1. In the less active phase. These should have a growth path with higher Eddington ratios in the past from z = 30 to  $z \sim 6$ .
- 2. In the young, active phase. Some of these should have a growth path with lower Eddington ratios from z = 30 to  $z \sim 6$

 $\cdot \eta = 0.1$  (i.e., Shakura & Sunyaev 1976)

both with higher Eddington ratios in the past from z=30 to a growth path with lower Eddington ratios from z=30 to



12

What is the spectral properties of typical quasars at high-z?

## **Comparison of high-z QSOs and low-z QSOs/Emission profiles**

- Create composite spectra of three samples (SHELLQs, counterparts, control)



# - Create luminosity-matched low-z QSOs (control) sample with SHELLQs sample



### No difference!

They are consistent within  $1\sigma$  uncertainty with each other.

This means there is no significant difference between high-z and low-z quasars, which is consistent with the previous studies.



14







### **FUTURE PROSPECTS**



- Confirm the predicted properties of our sample with the actual NIR-spec (especially the lowest candidate!)
- Investigate host properties of quasars at the low-mass side of the MBH distribution (e.g., the distribution of gas, outflow, and halo masses )



